

IN THE DRAWINGS:

Please replace Fig. 3 with the attached replacement sheet for Fig. 3.

REMARKS

The above amendments and the following remarks are fully and completely responsive to the Office Action dated August 2, 2005. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are pending in this application with claims 1 and 7 amended and claim 6 canceled by the present Amendment. In the outstanding Office Action, the drawings were objected to and claims 1-5 and 9-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 6-8 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter, but were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected based claim. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are presented for reconsideration.

Drawing Objection

The drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5) because reference number 40 appears in the specification on page 4, line 27, but does not appear in Fig. 3. Applicants have amended Fig. 3 to include the reference number "40". Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-5 and 9-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazawa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,536, "Miyazawa") in view of Huang (U.S. Patent No. 5,529,522). This rejection has been rendered moot by the amendment of claim 1 to include the limitation of claim 6, which the Office Action indicated as containing allowable subject matter. Claims 2-5 and 9-10 depend either

directly or indirectly on claim 1. Therefore, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-5 and 9-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter but were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Claim 6 has been canceled and the limitations of claim 6 have been added to claim 1. Claim 7 has been amended to depend from claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to claims 7-8 since these claims now depend from an allowable claim.

Conclusion

Applicants' amendments and remarks have overcome the objections and rejections set forth in the Office Action dated August 2, 2005. Specifically, Applicants' amendment to Fig. 3, in the form of a replacement sheet, overcomes the objection to the figures. Applicants' amendments and remarks have distinguished claims 1-5 and 9-10 from the cited prior art and thus overcome the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Applicants' remarks regarding claims 7-8 have indicated that these claims depend from an allowable claim, thus overcoming the objection to these claims. Accordingly, claims 1-5 and 7-10 are in condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request consideration and allowance of claims 1-5 and 7-10.

Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance, Applicants respectfully

request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney by telephone if it is believed that such contact will expedite the prosecution of the application.

In the event that this paper is not considered to be timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment for any additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper to our Deposit Account No. 01-2300, making reference to attorney docket number 100021-00140.

Respectfully submitted,
ARENT FOX PLLC



Rustan J. Hill
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,351

Customer No. 004372
ARENT FOX PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.,
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Tel: (202) 857-6000
Fax: (202) 638-4810

RJH/elz

Enclosure: Replacement sheet (Figure 3)

TECH/374705.1